

Counting tree diagrams: asymptotic results for QCD-like theories

P. Draggiotis¹ and R. Kleiss²

University of Nijmegen, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Abstract

We discuss the enumeration of Feynman diagrams at tree order for processes with external lines of different types. We show how this can be done by iterating algebraic Schwinger-Dyson equations. Asymptotic estimates for very many external lines are derived. Applications include QED, QCD and scalar QED, and the asymptotic estimates are numerically confronted with the exact results.

¹petros@sci.kun.nl

²kleiss@sci.kun.nl

1 Introduction

With the growing complexity of scattering amplitudes that are becoming amenable to calculation, especially with the availability of recursive algorithms like [1, 2], the question of the number of contributing Feynman graphs becomes of interest in its own right. As will be seen, already for tree diagrams their enumeration is a nontrivial question. In [3] the number of graphs for theories with a single, self-interacting scalar field was studied, and a method derived to estimate the asymptotic number of tree graphs for the $1 \rightarrow n$ amplitude for large n was described, improving on earlier estimates [4, 5]. The precise number of graphs, but not its asymptotic form, for the case of QCD was discussed also in [6], albeit in a manner that does not lead to straightforward numerical results. It is the aim of the present paper to improve on this situation. In section 2, we briefly review the single-field case. In section 3, we discuss the case of ‘QED’, that is, processes involving fermions as well as bosons with QED-like interactions between them, and also the case where the bosonic field has a three-boson interaction: for these cases, almost-exact asymptotic results are easily found. The more complicated cases of QCD (with additional four-point boson vertices), scalar QED and ‘all-out’ theories with arbitrary bosonic self-interactions, are discussed in section 4.

2 Single-field theories

The enumeration of tree diagrams in a given theory is simpler than that of general diagrams with loops, in the sense that for tree diagrams symmetry factors do not occur: indeed, at present the counting of higher-order diagrams appears almost hopeless. Since diagrams can be counted by simply replacing all propagators and vertices by unity, the counting problem becomes equivalent to solving the Schwinger-Dyson (SD) equation for a simple zero-dimensional Euclidean theory. To set the stage, let us consider such a theory, with a single self-interacting scalar field φ and a Euclidean action

$$S(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 - V(\varphi) \quad , \quad V(\varphi) = \frac{1}{3!}\varphi^3 + \frac{1}{4!}\varphi^4 + \cdots \quad (1)$$

where $V(\varphi)$ collects all higher-point interactions according to whether they are present in the theory or not. Note that the minus sign and the factorials in front of the interaction terms ensure that all vertices are precisely unity.

Let us denote by $a(n)$ the number of tree diagrams entering in the $1 \rightarrow n$ amplitude, and by $\phi(z)$ its generating function:

$$\phi(z) \equiv \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n!} a(n) \quad . \quad (2)$$

This generating function, then, obeys the algebraic Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$\phi(z) = z + V'(\phi(z)) \quad , \quad (3)$$

where double-counting is avoided by the factorials in front of the terms in the interaction potential: for instance, in pure φ^3 theory the Schwinger-Dyson equation, translated back in terms of $a(n)$, reads

$$a(n) = \delta_{n,1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 1} \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2!} a(n_1) a(n_2) \delta_{n, n_1 + n_2} \quad . \quad (4)$$

Obtaining the exact number of graphs for given n is simply a matter of algebraically iterating Eq.(3) up to the appropriate order in powers of z , which is a trivial task for any halfway decent computer algebra system. For the asymptotic result, however, we have to employ more. The asymptotic form of $a(n)$ is of course given by the singularity structure of $\phi(z)$ as a function of z . Now, $\phi(z)$ cannot have poles for finite z if $V(\varphi)$ is a finite polynomial, since the SD equation cannot then be satisfied. The singularities must therefore be branch points. Let us write z as a function of ϕ :

$$z = F(\phi) \equiv \phi - V'(\phi) \quad . \quad (5)$$

We now look for that value of ϕ (and z) for which the definition of $\phi(z)$ becomes ambiguous, *i.e.* where a branch cut starts. Such points ϕ_0 will be situated where $d\phi/dz$ diverges, or

$$\frac{dF(\phi)}{d\phi} = 0 \quad \text{at } \phi = \phi_0 \quad . \quad (6)$$

To each of these roots corresponds a value $z_0 = F(\phi_0)$, and that z_0 which lies closest to the origin determines the leading asymptotic behaviour. We can then make an expansion around the appropriate value of ϕ_0 :

$$z = F(\phi_0) + \frac{1}{2} F''(\phi_0) (\phi - \phi_0)^2 + \dots \quad , \quad (7)$$

and we can read off the approximate form of $\phi(z)$ in the neighbourhood of the singular point:

$$\phi(z) \sim \phi_0 - \left(1 - \frac{z}{F(\phi_0)}\right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{-2F(\phi_0)}{F''(\phi_0)}}. \quad (8)$$

The expansion of the square-root form,

$$\sqrt{1-x} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(2n-2)!x^n}{n!(n-1)!2^{2n-1}} \sim \sum_n \frac{x^n}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{4\pi}}, \quad (9)$$

where we indicate its asymptotic form, then tells us that the asymptotic form of $a(n)$ is given by

$$a(n) \sim \frac{n!}{n^{3/2}} C^n D, \quad C = \frac{1}{F(\phi_0)}, \quad D = \sqrt{\frac{-F(\phi_0)}{2\pi F''(\phi_0)}}. \quad (10)$$

Two points are in order here. If it should happen that F'' vanishes together with F' , we might have to look for a cube-root branch point rather than a square-root one: we have never yet encountered this case. Secondly, it is possible, as for instance in pure φ^4 theory, that there are several z_0 values equally far from zero. In that case we have to add the asymptotic contributions from every such point, and this is the mechanism by which in pure φ^4 theory even values of n become forbidden.

Some results are collected in the the following table, for theories in which all interactions from φ^3 up to and including φ^M are present.

M	C	D
3	2.00000	.282095
4	2.50804	.191409
5	2.57845	.178231
6	2.58755	.175794
7	2.58859	.175393
8	2.58868	.175336
9	2.58869	.175331
∞	2.58869	.175329

The last theory, with potential $V(\varphi) = \exp(\varphi) - \varphi - 1$, is interesting in that it establishes an upper bound on the number of tree graphs in any single-field theory. Equivalently, it gives the number of graphs arising from an effective action after tadpole renormalization.

3 QED-like theories

We now turn to the more complicated case where fermionic fields are also present: we then have fermions, antifermions, and bosons. The simplest case is that of QED with a single fermion type. The action is then given by

$$S(\varphi, \chi, \bar{\chi}) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 + \bar{\chi}\chi - \bar{\chi}\chi\varphi \quad , \quad (11)$$

where χ denotes the fermion and $\bar{\chi}$ the antifermion field. We now have three amplitudes, depending on the incoming line, and we have the following generating functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z, x, \bar{x}) &= \sum_{n_0, n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_0} x^{n_1} \bar{x}^{n_2}}{n_0! n_1! n_2!} a(\varphi \rightarrow n_0\varphi, n_1\chi, n_2\bar{\chi}) \quad , \\ \psi(z, x, \bar{x}) &= \sum_{n_0, n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_0} x^{n_1} \bar{x}^{n_2}}{n_0! n_1! n_2!} a(\chi \rightarrow n_0\varphi, n_1\chi, n_2\bar{\chi}) \quad , \\ \bar{\psi}(z, x, \bar{x}) &= \sum_{n_0, n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{z^{n_0} x^{n_1} \bar{x}^{n_2}}{n_0! n_1! n_2!} a(\bar{\chi} \rightarrow n_0\varphi, n_1\chi, n_2\bar{\chi}) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and coupled SD equations:

$$\phi = z + \psi\bar{\psi} \quad , \quad \psi = x + \phi\psi \quad , \quad \bar{\psi} = \bar{x} + \phi\bar{\psi} \quad . \quad (13)$$

These can be readily expressed in ϕ alone:

$$\psi = \frac{x}{1-\phi} \quad , \quad \bar{\psi} = \frac{\bar{x}}{1-\phi} \quad , \quad (14)$$

and

$$\phi = z + \frac{\xi}{(1-\phi)^2} \quad , \quad \xi = x\bar{x} \quad . \quad (15)$$

The combination ξ implies, of course, conservation of fermion number, and we see that it suffices to determine ϕ as a function of z and ξ , except for processes without any external bosons. Again, combined expansion in powers of ξ and z is trivial by iterating Eq.(15). For the asymptotic behaviour we now have to study a two-variable problem. We do this by momentarily keeping z fixed, and considering the singularity of ϕ in terms of ξ :

$$\xi = F_z(\phi) = (1-\phi)^2(\phi-z) \quad , \quad F'_z(\phi) = (1-\phi)(1+2z-3\phi) \quad . \quad (16)$$

The singularity, parametrized by z , must be again of branch-point type, and is situated at

$$\phi_0 = \frac{1+2z}{3} \quad , \quad F_z(\phi_0) = \frac{4}{27}(1-z)^3 \quad , \quad F_z''(\phi_0) = -2(1-z) \quad , \quad (17)$$

so that the asymptotic behaviour for high powers of ξ is given by

$$\phi_z(\xi) \sim \sum_n \frac{1}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{27\pi}} \frac{(27/4)^n}{(1-z)^{3n-1}} \xi^n \quad . \quad (18)$$

This immediately gives the behaviour with powers of z as well. Expanding the form $(1-z)^{-3n+1}$,

$$\phi(\xi, z) \sim \sum_{n,k} \xi^n z^k \frac{(27/4)^n}{\sqrt{27\pi}} \frac{(3n-2+k)!}{k!(3n-2)!n^{3/2}} \quad , \quad (19)$$

gives for the number of graphs

$$a(\varphi \rightarrow k\varphi, n\chi\bar{\chi}) \sim \frac{(27/4)^n}{\sqrt{27\pi}} \frac{(3n-2+k)!n!^2}{(3n-2)!n^{3/2}} \quad . \quad (20)$$

The goodness of this asymptotic result, when compared with the exact enumeration, does not depend on k but only on n : the exact result is 1.3644356 times the approximate one for $n = 1$, which ratio decreases to 1.0244771 for $n = 10$ and to 1.0120180 for $n = 20$. This k -independence is related to the fact that we have here the exact Taylor expansion of the pole around $z = 1$: when we use Stirling's approximation for the factorials, a dependence on k is introduced.

We may extend our discussion to the case of more fermions. If we introduce f fermion flavours, each flavour j will have its own generating functions ψ_j and $\bar{\psi}_j$, with variables x_j and $\bar{x}_j$: but ϕ will still be described by Eq.(15), with the sole redefinition

$$\xi = x\bar{x} \rightarrow \xi = \sum_{j=1}^f x_j \bar{x}_j \quad . \quad (21)$$

The amplitude therefore becomes, upon multinomial expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} & a(\varphi \rightarrow k\varphi, n_1\chi_1\bar{\chi}_1, n_2\chi_2\bar{\chi}_2, \dots, n_f\chi_f\bar{\chi}_f) \\ & \sim \frac{(27/4)^n}{\sqrt{27\pi}} \frac{(3n-2+k)!n!n_1!n_2!\dots n_f!}{(3n-2)!n^{3/2}} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

For theories in which the various fermion types have the same type of vertices, this is the general way in which one goes from the single-fermion to the multi-fermion case, and therefore we shall only consider the single-fermion case in the following.

The next simplest case is that where we allow a φ^3 self-interaction for the boson in addition to the $\varphi\chi\bar{\chi}$ vertex. The analog of Eq.(15) is then

$$\phi = z + \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\xi}{(1-\phi)^2} \quad , \quad (23)$$

so that

$$F_z(\phi) = (1-\phi)^2(\phi - \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 - z) \quad (24)$$

The equation $F'_z(\phi)$ now has two roots, leading however to the same result: with $\phi = 1 - \Phi$ and $z = 1/2 - \zeta$, the singularity condition reads $\Phi^2 = \zeta$, and the form of ϕ close to the singularity reads

$$\phi \sim \sum_n \frac{2^{n-2}}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\zeta^{2n-1/2}} \quad . \quad (25)$$

In turn, this gives the asymptotic form

$$a(\phi \rightarrow k\phi, n\chi\bar{\chi}) \sim \frac{2^{3n+k-5/2}}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{(2n+k-3/2)!n!^2}{(2n-3/2)!} \quad . \quad (26)$$

Again, the goodness of the approximation is independent of k , and the ratio exact/approximate reads 1.253314137 for $n = 1$, 1.019251423 for $n = 10$, and 1.009498692 for $n = 20$.

4 Asymptotics by saddle-point methods

It is tempting to extend the reasoning of the previous section to more complicated cases. This is, however, dangerous because of the following reason. In finding the singularity we have first to solve $F'_z(\phi_0) = 0$ to find ϕ_0 as a function of z , and then to determine the coefficient of z^k in $1/F_z(\phi_0(z))^m$, where m is a large number. In principle, this is then again determined by the precise nature of the singularity of this form, that is, the behaviour of $F_z(\phi_0(z))$ close to a root. If z_0 is this root, we can generally write

$$F_z(\phi_0(z)) = A(z_0 - z)^p \left(1 + B(z_0 - z) + \mathcal{O}((z_0 - z)^2)\right) \quad , \quad (27)$$

for some positive p . Naively making the expansion around $z = z_0$ gives then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{F_z(\phi_0(z))^m} &\sim \frac{1}{A^m(z_0 - z)^{pm}} - \frac{mB}{A^m(z_0 - z)^{pm-1}} + \dots \\
&= \sum_k \frac{1}{A^m z_0^{pm}} \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^k \left[\frac{(pm+k-1)!}{k!(pm-1)!} - mBz_0 \frac{(pm+k-2)!}{k!(pm-2)!} + \dots \right] \\
&= \sum_k \frac{1}{A^m z_0^{pm}} \left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)^k \frac{(pm+k-1)!}{k!(pm-1)!} \left[1 - Bz_0 \frac{m(pm-1)}{(pm+k-1)} + \dots \right] \quad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

The correction term is *not* small for generic large m and k values, but only becomes small in the ‘super-asymptotic’ limit $k \gg m^2 \gg 1$, which is too asymptotic to interest us here.

The most efficient way to determine the high- k behaviour appears to be the following. Let us rewrite the relation between ϕ_0 and z :

$$F'_z(\phi) = 0 \Rightarrow G(\phi) = z \quad . \quad (29)$$

For instance, in the ‘QED+ φ^3 ’ case considered above, we have $G(\phi) = 2\phi - \phi^2 - 1/2$. The form of the coefficient $c_{n,k}$ of $\xi^n z^k n^{-3/2}$ is then given by a (counter-clockwise) Cauchy integral around $z = 0$:

$$c_{n,k} \sim \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint dz \frac{1}{z^{k+1}} \frac{1}{F_z(\phi)^n} \sqrt{\frac{-F_z(\phi)}{2\pi F''_z(\phi)}} \quad , \quad G(\phi) = z \quad . \quad (30)$$

We can readily transform this into a loop integral for ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{n,k} &\sim \frac{1}{2i\pi} \oint d\phi \frac{G'(\phi)}{G(\phi)^{k+1} H(\phi)^n} \sqrt{\frac{-H(\phi)}{2\pi H_2(\phi)}} \quad , \\
H(\phi) &= F_{G(\phi)}(\phi) \quad , \quad H_2(\phi) = F''_{G(\phi)}(\phi) \quad . \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, in the definition of H_2 , the substitution $z = G(\phi)$ must be made *after* the differentiation. The only tricky point is to determine which of the various roots of $G(\phi) = 0$ should be chosen to integrate around. Note that this question *can* be answered unambiguously: if worst comes to worst, one can simply check the result against the exact enumeration for largish values of n and k . Having found the point around which to do the ϕ integral, we then proceed to deform the integration contour into a pair of integrals parallel

to the imaginary axis. The upwards integral (‘main’ integral) is chosen to run over the saddle point $\hat{\phi}$, situated on the real axis and given by

$$K'(\hat{\phi}) = 0 \quad , \quad K(\phi) \equiv -n \log H(\phi) - k \log G(\phi) \quad . \quad (32)$$

In many cases $\hat{\phi}$ can actually be given as a function of n and k in closed form. The downwards integral (‘return’ integral) is chosen to run over another saddle point. In the cases we have studied, we have always found that the values of $H(\phi)$ and $F(\phi)$ in the saddle point of the return integral are larger (in absolute value) than those for the saddle point of the main integral, so that the contribution of the return integral is exponentially suppressed with respect to the main integral. The result, therefore, is

$$c_{n,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{G'(\hat{\phi})}{G(\hat{\phi})^{k+1} H(\hat{\phi})^n} \sqrt{\frac{-H(\hat{\phi})}{H_2(\hat{\phi}) K''(\hat{\phi})}} \quad . \quad (33)$$

The final asymptotic estimate for the number of tree graphs with $k+1$ external bosons and $2n$ fermions/antifermions can therefore be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a(\varphi \rightarrow k\varphi, n\chi\bar{\chi}) &\sim (n-1)!^2 k! C_1^n C_2^{k+1} D \quad , \\ C_1 &= 1/H(\hat{\phi}) \quad , \\ C_2 &= 1/G(\hat{\phi}) \quad , \\ D &= \frac{n^{1/2} G'(\hat{\phi})}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{-H(\hat{\phi})}{H_2(\hat{\phi}) K''(\hat{\phi})}} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The numbers C_1 , C_2 and D only depend on the ratio k/n .

As a first check, we redo the QED case with one fermion flavour. Here, $G(\phi) = (3\phi - 1)/2$ so that we must integrate around $\phi = 1/3$. There is only a single saddle point $\hat{\phi} = (n+k)/(3n+k)$. Since $\hat{\phi} > 1/3$ and main integral is indeed upwards, and the return integral can be moved out to infinity. The result for $c_{n,k}$ is nothing but the Stirling approximation of the ‘exact’ result.

The next case is that of ‘QED+ φ^3 ’. The equation $G(\phi) = 0$ has two roots, $1 \pm \sqrt{1/2}$, of which $1 - \sqrt{1/2}$ is on the appropriate Riemann sheet. This can be seen from the fact that the saddle point $\hat{\phi} = (2n+k - \sqrt{2n^2 + nk})/(2n+k)$

is to the right of this root, and has a positive value for $G'(\hat{\phi})$: choosing the other possible saddle point (with a + sign before the square root) leads to a negative $G'(\hat{\phi})$ and consequently a negative asymptotic estimate. Again, the saddle-point result boils down to the Stirling approximation of the ‘exact’ one.

A more complicated case is that of QED with a pure φ^4 interaction added. We find

$$\begin{aligned} G(\phi) &= -\frac{5}{12}\phi^3 + \frac{1}{4}\phi^2 + \frac{3}{2}\phi - \frac{1}{2} , \\ G'(\phi) &= -\frac{1}{4}(5\phi^2 - 2\phi - 6) , \\ H(\phi) &= \frac{1}{4}(1 - \phi)^3(2 - \phi^2) , \\ H_2(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \phi)(5\phi^2 - 2\phi - 6) . \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Note that the roots of H_2 are also roots of H or G' : this is a general occurrence. The main-integral saddle point $\hat{\phi}$ is a root of the equation

$$(5n + 3k)\phi^3 - 3(n + k)\phi^2 - 6(3n + k)\phi + 6(n + k) = 0 . \quad (36)$$

By standard methods, we can find the three roots of this equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_r &= \frac{1}{5n + 3k} \left[2(31n^2 + 30nk + 7k^2)^{1/2} \sin \left\{ \frac{2r\pi}{3} - \frac{1}{3}u \right\} + n + k \right] , \\ u &= \arcsin \left(\frac{29n^3 + 75n^2k + 63nk^2 + 17k^3}{(31n^2 + 30nk + 7k^2)^{3/2}} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

with $r = 0, 1, 2$. The choice $r = 0$ interpolates smoothly between .325259493 for $k/n = 0$ and 1 for $n/k = 0$, and this turns out to be the correct saddle point. The singularity structure of the integrand is as follows: there are poles of order $k + 1$ at the three roots of G , -1.788306912, .3252594930, and 2.063047419. There is a pole of order $3n - 1$ at 1, and poles of order n at $\pm\sqrt{2}$. With the standard convention that the square root branch cut lies along negative real values, there are cuts along the real ϕ axis from $-\sqrt{2}$ to $(1 - \sqrt{31})/5 \sim -.9135528726$ and from $(1 + \sqrt{31})/5 \sim 1.313552873$ to $\sqrt{2}$. The return integral can be taken to cross the real axis at -.9135528726, where $H = 2.041476117$ and $G = -1.344004635$. The saddle-point values

for the main integral are always smaller than these in absolute value, confirming the above statement that the return integral may safely be neglected.

The more relevant case of QCD is treated in the same manner. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\phi) &= -\frac{5}{12}\phi^3 - \frac{3}{4}\phi^2 + 2\phi - \frac{1}{2} , \\
G'(\phi) &= \frac{1}{4}(4 - 5\phi)(2 + \phi) , \\
H(\phi) &= \frac{1}{4}(1 - \phi)^3(2 - 2\phi - \phi^2) , \\
H_2(\phi) &= -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \phi)(4 - 5\phi)(2 + \phi) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and $\hat{\phi}$ solves

$$(5n + 3k)\phi^3 + 3(3n + k)\phi^2 - 12(2n + k)\phi + 6(n + k) = 0 ; \tag{39}$$

this root can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\phi} &= \frac{1}{5n + 3k} \left[2(13k^2 + 49n^2 + 50nk)^{1/2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{3}u\right) - 3n - k \right] , \\
u &= \arcsin\left(2 \frac{141n^3 + 225n^2k + 123nk^2 + 23k^3}{(13k^2 + 49n^2 + 50nk)^{3/2}}\right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The singularity structure resembles that of the previous case: poles of order $k + 1$ at the three values -3.343142188 , $.2853836802$, and 1.257758508 ; a pole of order $3n - 1$ at 1 , and poles of order n at $-1 - \sqrt{3} \sim -2.732050808$ and at $-1 + \sqrt{3} \sim .7320508076$; and branch cuts running from $-1 - \sqrt{3}$ to -2 and from $-1 + \sqrt{3}$ to $4/5$. The loop-integration contour is situated around $.2853836802$ and $\hat{\phi}$ moves smoothly from this value upwards to $.7320508076$ as k/n increases from 0 to infinity. The saddle point for the return integral is at $\phi = -2$, where $H = 27/2$ and $G = -25/6$, again always considerably bigger than $H(\hat{\phi})$ and $G(\hat{\phi})$. This allows for the complete determination of $C_{1,2}$ and D : the value of $\hat{\phi}$ is given by Eq.(40), and

$$\begin{aligned}
K''(\phi) &= n \frac{5\phi^4 + 12\phi^3 + 2\phi^2 - 36\phi + 20}{(2 - 2\phi - \phi^2)^2(1 - \phi)^2} \\
&\quad + 3k \frac{25\phi^4 + 60\phi^3 + 54\phi^2 - 204\phi + 156}{(5\phi^3 + 9\phi^2 - 24\phi + 6)^2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

In the following table we present the non-universal quantities C_1 , C_2 and D for various ratios k/n .

$\log_{10}(k/n)$	C_1	C_2	D
-3.0	8.143	4155.	.0002929
-2.5	8.157	1316.	.0005196
-2.0	8.217	417.5	.0009172
-1.5	8.389	133.6	.001594
-1.0	8.961	43.78	.002640
-0.5	10.89	15.40	.003802
0	18.00	6.452	.003882
0.5	48.97	3.666	.0002183
1.0	197.2	2.833	.0006782
1.5	836.8	2.599	.0001506
2.0	3141.	2.535	.00002913
2.5	10660.	2.516	.000005336
3.0	34530.	2.511	.0000009583

As expected, the accuracy of the asymptotic approximation improves uniformly if n and k grow with a fixed ratio. In the table we collect some results, where we have of course only those values for which both n and k are integers, and have iterated the exact generating function up to $n + k = 29$. The accuracy is actually quite reasonable even for moderate values of n and k .

n	$k = n/3$	$k = n/2$	$k = 2n/3$	$k = n$	$k = 3n/2$	$k = 2n$	$k = 3n$
1						1.019	1.003
2		.9904		1.010	1.010	1.007	.9995
3	.9709		.9999	1.006		1.004	.9993
4		.9927		1.004	1.004	1.003	.9994
5				1.003		1.002	.9995
6	.9837	.9946	.9992	1.002	1.003	1.002	.9995
7				1.002		1.001	.9996
8		.9958		1.002	1.002	1.001	
9	.9888		.9993	1.001		1.001	
10		.9966		1.001	1.001		
11				1.001			
12	.9915	.9971	.9994	1.001			
13				1.001			
14		.9975		1.001			
15	.9932		.9995				
16		.9978					
17							
18	.9943	.9980					
19							
20							
21	.9951						

We may also consider a theory where φ^p bosonic self-interactions occur for every p . We simply list the results:

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\phi) &= 3\phi - \frac{1}{2}\phi \exp(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\exp(\phi) , \\
G'(\phi) &= 3 - \exp(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\phi \exp(\phi) , \\
H(\phi) &= \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \phi)^3 (-2 + \exp(\phi)) , \\
H_2(\phi) &= -(-1 + \phi) (-6 + 2\exp(\phi) + \phi \exp(\phi)) , \\
0 &= \exp(\hat{\phi})((n + k)\hat{\phi} + n - k) - (6n + 2k)\hat{\phi} + 2k , \\
K''(\phi) &= n \frac{12 - 10\exp(\phi) + 3\exp(2\phi) - 4\phi \exp(\phi) + 2\phi^2 \exp(\phi)}{(-2 + \exp(\phi))^2(-1 + \phi)^2} \\
&\quad + k \frac{(6\phi \exp(\phi) + \exp(2\phi) + 6\phi^2 \exp(\phi) + 36 - 24\exp(\phi))}{(-6\phi + \phi \exp(\phi) + \exp(\phi))^2} \quad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

The Riemann sheet structure of the function G is of course much more complicated in this case, but fortunately the relevant saddle point is the simplest solution on the real axis, again interpolating smoothly between the appropriate zeroes of H and G . In the table, we give the non-universal quantities as a function of k/n .

$\log_{10}(k/n)$	C_1	C_2	D
-3.0	8.150	2000.	.0002904
-2.5	8.170	1250.	.0005151
-2.0	8.224	384.6	.0009093
-1.5	8.396	135.1	.001580
-1.0	8.977	44.05	.002614
-0.5	10.91	15.53	.003753
0	17.99	6.494	.003797
0.5	48.08	3.731	.002092
1.0	184.5	2.907	.0006325
1.5	729.9	2.681	.0001372
2.0	2564.	2.618	.00002613
2.5	8333.	2.591	.000004754
3.0	20000	2.584	.0000008515

These numbers are qualitatively quite similar to that for QCD. The accuracy of the approximation appears to be almost identical to that of the QCD case.

Another interesting case is that of scalar QED, where we have an additional $\varphi^2\chi\bar{\chi}$ interaction term. The SD equations now become more complicated:

$$\psi = x + \psi(\phi + \phi^2/2) \quad , \quad \bar{\psi} = \bar{x} + \bar{\psi}(\phi + \phi^2/2) \quad , \quad \phi = z + (1 + \phi)\psi\bar{\psi} \quad , \quad (43)$$

so that

$$\phi = z + \frac{\xi(1 + \phi)}{(1 - \phi - \phi^2/2)^2} \quad . \quad (44)$$

Following the same steps as before, we find

$$\begin{aligned} G(\phi) &= \frac{-2 + 9\phi^2 + 4\phi^3 + 6\phi}{3(2 + 2\phi + \phi^2)} \quad , \\ G'(\phi) &= \frac{4(\phi^2 + 2\phi + 4)(1 + \phi)^2}{3(2 + 2\phi + \phi^2)^2} \quad , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H(\phi) &= \frac{(2 - 2\phi - \phi^2)^3}{12(2 + 2\phi + \phi^2)} , \\
H_2(\phi) &= \frac{(\phi^2 + 2\phi + 4)(-2 + 2\phi + \phi^2)}{2 + 2\phi + \phi^2} ;
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

the main-integral saddle point is a solution of

$$(4n + k)\phi^3 + 3(3n + k)\phi^2 + 6n\phi - 2(n + k) = 0 , \tag{46}$$

and reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\phi} &= \frac{1}{4n + k} \left[2(k^2 + 4nk + n^2)^{1/2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi + u}{3}\right) - 3n - k \right] , \\
u &= \arcsin\left(\frac{n(3k^2 + 18nk + 25n^2)}{(k^2 + 4nk + n^2)^{3/2}}\right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Finally, we also need

$$\begin{aligned}
K''(\phi) &= \frac{4n(84\phi^2 + 26\phi^4 + 6\phi^5 + \phi^6 + 24 + 56\phi + 64\phi^3)}{(-2 + 2\phi + \phi^2)^2(2 + 2\phi + \phi^2)^2} + \\
&\quad \frac{8k(36 + 320\phi^2 + 371\phi^4 + 205\phi^5 + 72\phi^6 + 16\phi^7 + 2\phi^8 + 148\phi + 432\phi^3)}{(2 + 2\phi + \phi^2)^2(-2 + 9\phi^2 + 4\phi^3 + 6\phi)^2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The results for the non-universal constants are given in the following table.

$\log_{10}(k/n)$	C_1	C_2	D
-3.0	9.671	4189.	.1731E-5
-2.5	9.690	1326.	.5466E-5
-2.0	9.756	420.2	.1721E-4
-1.5	9.970	133.7	.5371E-4
-1.0	10.66	43.18	.1627E-3
-0.5	13.06	14.55	.4459E-3
0	23.03	5.510	.8568E-3
0.5	85.11	2.667	.6268E-3
1.0	805.8	1.776	.1574E-3
1.5	.1526E5	1.496	.2247E-4
2.0	.4021E6	1.407	.2542E-5
2.5	.1197E8	1.379	.2647E-6
3.0	.3712E9	1.370	.2681E-7

The non-universal constants appear to vary much more rapidly as a function of k/n than in the case of QCD. The accuracy of the asymptotic estimate, however, is essentially the same.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated how the number of tree-level diagrams for several theories can be computed exactly for given numbers of external legs of various kinds. We have also described how asymptotic formulae for these numbers, valid in the limit of many legs of each kind, can be obtained. We have compared these results for several theories of interest, including QED, QCD and scalar QED. Comparison with the exact results up to fairly high order shows that the asymptotic estimates are accurate.

References

- [1] F. Berends, W. Giele, H. Kuijf, Nucl. Phys. **B321** (1989) 30; Nucl. Phys. **B333** (1990) 120
- [2] F. Caravaglios, M. Moretti, Phys. Lett. **B358** (1995) 332.
- [3] E.N. Argyres, R. Kleiss, C.G. Papadopoulos, Nucl.Phys. **B391** (1993) 42
- [4] J.G.M. Kuijf, *Multiparton production at hadron colliders*, PhD thesis (University of Leiden) (1991)
- [5] M.B. Voloshin, Nucl.Phys. **B383**(1992) 233
- [6] F. Caravaglios, M.L. Mangano, M. Moretti, R. Pittau, Nucl.Phys. **B539** (1999) 215